

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Mary Rose Wright
Petitioner

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FILED Case No.: 5:09-CR-348-IFL

APR 01 2013

JULIE A. RICHARDS, CLERK
US DISTRICT COURT, EDC
BY 7/21 DEK

MOTION DENIED

this 16th day of April
20 13

Louise W. Flanagan
LOUISE W. FLANAGAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

MOTION TO AMEND

Comes now, Petitioner, Mary Rose Wright, pro se, to request this Honorable Court to amend the judgement and commitment order to indicate participation in the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program (IFRP) payment not be required during incarceration. Although participation in the IFRP is stated by FBOP regulations as voluntary; if you do not volunteer, the punishment is harsh and never ending, including the loss of telephone and commissary privileges, being placed in an open living area ("Bus Stop" - which falls under the definition of "Cruel and Unusual Punishment", lights shining on you 24 hours a day, 7 days a week), until an agreement is signed to make payments at their stated amount, which can get raised at anytime.

Petitioner, Mary Rose Wright, is requesting the Court to limit the IFRP payment to \$25.00 per quarter, which would off-set any punishment, not put a financial burden on non-incarcerated family, and have the remainder of restitution be paid in an amount based upon petitioner's job income after release from the Federal Bureau of Prisons. See U.S. v. Munoz_F.3d_ (7th Circuit, July 9, 2010) and No. 09-1118. As clarified in the court ruling, U.S. v. Boyd, 608F.3d331 (7th Circuit, June 11, 2010 U.S. Court of Appeals, participation in the IFRP during